RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JUN 19 2006



· JUN-19-2006 16:16

RiverPark Towers 333 West San Carlos Street Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110

Direct Tel: (408) 975-7950 Facsimile: (408) 975-7501 sbhaπacharva@kenyon.com

TO:	FROM:
Examiner: Greg C. BENGZON	Sumit Bhattacharya
COMPANY: USPTO	рате. June 19, 2006
FAX NUMBIER: (571) 273-8300	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:
PHONE NUMBER:	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: Intel 2207/14007
RE: Application No.: 10/083,557	your reference number: Group Art Unit: 2144
URGENT FOR REVIEW DP	LEASE COMMENT PLEASE REPLY CONFIRMATION
☐ ORIGINAL	WILL FOLLOW ORIGINAL WILL NOT FOLLOW
Notes/Comments:	APPEAL BRIEF

- 1. Fax Cover Sheet (1)
- 2. Fee Transmittal (and one copy) (2)
- 3. Appeal Brief (15) Total: (18) pages

Certificate of Facsimile Transmittal

I hereby certify that the above referenced correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile under 37 C.F.R. §1.11 to Examiner: Greg C. BENGZON at facsimile number: (571) 273-8300 at the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Dated: June 19, 2006

Barbara Vance

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION, INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS, IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE, THE ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE OR IS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. IF THE READER OF THIS NOTICE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYER OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THIS TRANSMISSION TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, WE HEREBY NOTIFY YOU THAT ANY USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF ALL OR PART OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN PRROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE (408) 975-7500 OR FACSIMILE (408) 975-7501, SO THAT WE MAY ARRANGE FOR ITS RETURN OR DESTRUCTION AT OUR COST. THANK YOU.

Signature

June 19, 2006

PTO/SB/17 (10-04v2)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0551-0332
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

### Application Number Torrivos, 357 RECENT	FEE TRANSMITTAL			Complete if Known					
Effective 1001/2004. Patient fines are audiplect to amount revision. Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 Applicant clai			Application Number		10/		EAEU /		
### Real Provision Provision	for FY 2005		Filing Date		Fel	Diualy 21, ZUUZ			
Applicant claims amail entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 AT Unit 2144 AT Unit AT Unit 2144 AT Unit AT Unit AT Unit 2144 AT Unit			Named Ir	ventor	Ste	EVE SCHNETZLER CENTR	AL FAX		
Art Unit 2144 274	Effective 10/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to ennual revision.		Examiner Name		Gr	eg C. BENGZON (1)	M 4 0		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27				2144		N 19		
Check Credit card Money Other Order Deposit Account Large Refs) and State Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs) and State Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs) and State Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs) and State Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs) and State Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs) and State Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs) and State Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs) and State Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Entire Charge Refs Peach For Expendition of Str. and Expendition of St	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500.00	Attorr	ney Doçk	et No.	Int	el 2207/14007			
Check Credit card Money Other None Order Or	METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	1			FE	E CALCULATION (continued)			
Deposit Account	Check Credit card Money Other None	3. Al	ODITIO	NAL FE					
Deposit Number Deposit Kenyon & Kenyon LLP Deposit Kenyon & Kenyon LLP Deposit Kenyon & Kenyon LLP Deposit Name Deposit Kenyon & Kenyon LLP Dissi 130 Director Is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below EQ Credit any overpayments Charge any additional tele(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below EQ Credit any overpayments Charge any additional tele(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below EQ Credit any overpayments Charge any additional tele(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below. Scopt for the filting fee be above-definited deposit account of fee(s) BASIC FILING FEE 1255 1256 1257 BASIC FILING FEE 1258 1259 1259 1259 1259 1250 1259 1		Large	Entity	Small 6	Entity				
Account T1-UGU Code (a) Code (b) Code (c)	Deposit	1							
Deposit Account Kenyon & Kenyon LLP 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130 1053 130		Code	(\$)	Code	(\$)	Fee Description Fee I	Pald		
Account Name Director is suthorized to: (check all that apply) 1933 130 1933 130 1934 130 1934 130 1932 130 1934 130 1932 130 1932 130 1932 130 1932 130	Nullaci	1				•			
Name Petity Off Company 1903 130 1903 130 1903 130 1905 1905	Deposit Kanada R Kanada II D	1052	50	2052	25				
a Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below & Clicedit any overpeyments Charge fee(s) indicated below & Clicedit any overpeyments Charge fee(s) indicated below & Clicedit any overpeyments Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee an above-4-orther deposit account. 1805 1,840* 1805 1,840* 1805 1,840*	Name Kenyon & Kenyon LLP	1053	130	1053	130				
Charge prey deficient below. El Credit any overpayments Charge refety) indicated below, except for the filing fee 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1805 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1806 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1806 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1806 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1806 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1807 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1807 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1807 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1808 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1808 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1808 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1808 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1808 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1808 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 1808 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Pathenia Publication of SIR prio		1812	2,520	1812	2,520	- '			
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee he above-identified deposit account. 1805 1,840° Requesting pubblication of \$RR after Examiner action	Charge fee(s) indicated below	1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to			
## FEE CALCULATION 1251 120 2251 60 Extension for repty within first month 1252 450 2252 2253 2350 Extension for repty within brind month 1254 1,590 2253 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2253 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2253 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 2,160 2255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 1,080 Extension for repty within first month 1255 1,080	Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee the above-identified deposit account.	1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after			
## FEE CALCULATION ### BASIC FILING FEE ge Entity ### Small Enti		1251	120	2251	60				
1256 1.500	FEE CALCULATION	1252	450	2252	225	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
25	BASIC FILING FEE	1253	1,020	2253	510	• •			
1		1254	1,590	2254	795				
1401 500 2401 250 250 2402 250 250 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502 2402 2502		1255	2,160	2255	1,080				
1402 500 2402 250 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 500.00	(*)	1401		2401	,	• •			
1403 1,000 2403 500 Request for oral hearing 1451 1,510		1402	500	2402	250	• •	500.00		
145 1.510 2004 395 Relasue filing fee 1451 1.510 2005 80 Provisional filling fee 1452 500 2452 250 Patition to revive – unavoidable 1452 500 2452 250 Patition to revive – unavoidable 1453 1.500 2453 750 Patition to revive – unintentional 1451 1.510 1451 1.510 Patition to revive – unintentional 1452 500 2453 750 Patition to revive – unintentional 1451 1.510 1451 1.510 Patition to revive – unintentional 1452 500 2453 750 Patition to revive – unintentional 1452 500 2453 750 Patition to revive – unintentional 1452 500 2453 2502 245 Design issue fee 1502 480 2502 245 Design issue fee 1503 680 2503 230 Plant issue fee 1503 680 2503 230 Plant issue fee 1503 680 2503 230 Plant issue fee 1503 680 1800 1		1403	1,000	2403	500	_ ''	500.00		
SUBTOTAL (1) (5) 0 1452 500 1453 1,500 2453 750 Petition to revive – unavoidable EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Extra Claims Fee from below Extra Claims Fee from below Fee Paid 1502 490 2502 245 1503 860 2503 230 Plant issue fee 1503 860 1503 90 1606 180 1	04 790 2004 395 Relssue filing fee	1451	1,510	1451	1,510	· •	-		
EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Extra Claims		1452	500	2452	250				
Extra Claims Fee from below Fee Paid 1502 490 2502 245 Design issue fee 1503 860 2503 320 Plant issue fee 1460 130 1480 130 Petitions to the Commissioner 1807 50 1807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CPR 1.17 (q) 1806 180 1806 180 1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stink 1809 790 2809 395 Figure a submission after final rejection (37 GPR § 1.129(a)) 1809 790 2809 395 Figure a submission after final rejection (37 GPR § 1.129(a)) 1810 790 2810 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 GPR § 1.129(b)) 1809 790 2801 395 Request for Continued Exemination (RCE) 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application Other fee (specify) 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1809 Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid Suppose 1809 Suppose 1809 Suppose 1809 Suppose 1809 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application Suppose 1809 S	SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0	1453	1,500	2453	750				
Extra Claims	EYTDA CLAIM EEES FOR LITH ITY AND DEISSLIE	1501	1,400	2501	685	Utility issue fee (or relasue)			
Claims -20		1502	490	2502	245	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Claims -20 X 50.00 = 1460 130 1480 130 Petitions to the Commissioner	enra Gaims	1503	660	2503	330	Plant issue fee	-		
1806 180 1806 1806		1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner			
the noted that the noted to the	pendent	1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CPR 1,17 (g)			
age Entity Small Entity the Fee (3) Code (3) Code (3) Code (3) Code (3) Code (3) Code (4) Code (4) Code (5) Code (6) Code (5) Code (5) Code (5) Code (6) Code (6) Code (5) Code (6) Co	ns X 200.00 =	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Strat			
Small Entity Small Entity 1809 790 2809 395 Fiting a submission after final rejection (37 GFR § 1.129(a)) 1810 790 2810 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 GFR § 1.129(b)) 1810 790 2810 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 GFR § 1.129(b)) 1810 790 2810 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 GFR § 1.129(b)) 1810 790 2810 395 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1802 900 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application Other fee (specify) Treduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (8) 500.00 Subtotable S	iple X = =	8021	40	8021	40				
Substitute Sub	G. Con Con	1809	790	2809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection			
2 202 25 Claims in excess of 20 examined (37 CFR § 1,129(b)) 1 200 2201 100 Independent claims in excess of 3 3 380 2203 180 Multiple dependent claims over criginal patent (37 CFR § 1,129(b)) 4 200 2204 100 **Release independent claims over criginal patent (37 CFR § 1,129(b)) 5 50 2205 25 **Release independent claims over criginal patent over original patent (37 CFR § 1,129(b)) 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application Other fee (specify) **Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid **Complete (if applicable) Complete (if applicable)	de Pea (\$) Gode (\$) Fee Description	1810	790	2810	395				
3 380 2203 180 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 4 200 2204 100 "Relisate independent claims over original patent 5 50 2205 25 "Rejusted claims in excess of 20 and over original patent SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0 Complete (if applicable) Complete (if applicable)		1							
4 200 2204 100 "Relisate Independent cialms over original patent "Rollsate Independent cialms over original patent "Rollsate Independent cialms over original patent "Rollsate Independent cialms in excess of 20 and over original patent "Subtrotal (2) (5) 0 "Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid "Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid "Subtrotal (3) (5) 500.00 BMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)			700	0044					
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0 Other fee (specify)	200 2004 100 ** Release Independent claims over	1							
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0 *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid *SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500.00 Partition by Complete (if applicable)	ns so the same of								
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0 *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (5) 500.00 BMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)	over original palent	Other f	lee (speci	y)					
BMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)	SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0				Fee P	aid			
	or number previously paid, if gifyater, For Reissues, see above					SUBTOTAL (3) (8) 500	0.00		
	UBMITTED BY					Complete Et essilechie)			
no (Print/Type) Sumit Bhattacharta Refistration Aio. (Attorney/Agent) 51,469 Telephone (408) 975-7500	Courte Dhastan ham)			51,4	60	(400) 075 750	<u> </u>		

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is certained to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, propering, and authoriting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED PORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

· JUN-19-2006 16:17

KENYON KENYON

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

14089757501

P.04

JUN 19 2006

Patent

Attorney Docket No.: Intel 2207/14007

Assignee: Intel Corporation Confirmation No.: 5880

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS

Steve SCHNETZLER

SERIAL NO.

10/083,557

FILED

TITLE

February 27, 2002

,c

SERVER PERSISTENCE USING A URL IDENTIFIER

GROUP ART UNIT:

2144

EXAMINER

Greg C. BENGZON

VIA FACSIMILE

M/S: APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile number: (571) 273-8300 or deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: M/S: APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450-on

Dated: June 19, 2006

Dahan Vana

ATTENTION: Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

APPEAL BRIEF

Dear Sir:

This brief is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal, filed in this case on April 17, 2006.

06/20/2006 MBINAS

88880058 110608

10083557

01 FC:1402

500.00 DA

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest in this matter is Intel Corporation. (Recorded February 27, 2002, Reel/Frame 012662/0707).

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals.

3. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-21 are pending in the application. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neil et al., U.S. Patent No. in view of Barrera et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,748,448.

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Applicants did not make any amendments to the claim subsequent to final rejection.

The claims listed on page 1 of the Appendix attached to this Appeal Brief reflect the present status of the claims (including amendments entered after final rejection).

5. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The embodiment of claim 1 is generally directed to a method comprising: receiving a request for the data from a client computer (see e.g., page 4, paragraph [0014] – Figure 2, 100); sending the request to a first server of a plurality of servers (see e.g., page 4, paragraph [0015] – Figure 2, 110); receiving the data from the first server (see e.g., page 5, paragraph [0017] – Figure 2, 140); and adding an identity of the first server to the data and forwarding the data to

the client computer (see e.g., page 5, paragraph [0018] - Figure 2, 150).

The embodiment of claim 8 is generally directed to a load balancer comprising: a processor; and memory; wherein said processor is adapted to: receive a request for data from a client computer (see e.g., page 4, paragraph [0014] – Figure 2, 100); send the request to a first server among a plurality of servers (see e.g., page 4, paragraph [0015] – Figure 2, 110); receive the data from the first server (see e.g., page 5, paragraph [0017] – Figure 2, 140); and add an identity of the first server to the data and forward the data to the client computer (see e.g., page 5, paragraph [0018] – Figure 2, 150).

Claim 15 is directed to a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon that, when executed by a processor, cause the processor, after receiving a request for data from a client computer, to: send the request to a first server among a plurality of servers (see e.g., page 4, paragraph [0015] – Figure 2, 110); receive the data from the first server (see e.g., page 5, paragraph [0017] – Figure 2, 140); and add an identity of the first server to the data and forward the data to the client computer (see e.g., page 5, paragraph [0018] – Figure 2, 150).

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of a system in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. Fig. 2 is a flow diagram of the steps performed by a load balancer in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

A. Are claims 1-21 rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over O'Neil et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,128,279 hereinafter ("O'Neil") in view of Barrera et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,748,448 hereinafter ("Barrera")?

86983_1.DQC

7. ARGUMENT

A. Claims 1-21 are not rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over O'Neil in view of Barrera.

Applicant respectfully submits the cited references do not teach, suggest or describe "[a] method of accessing data from a plurality of servers comprising: ... adding an identity of the first server to the data and forwarding the data to the client computer" (e.g., as described in the embodiment of claim 1).

Applicant agrees with the Examiner's assessment that O'Neil does not describe adding an identity of the first server to the data and forwarding the data to the client computer. See Office Action, page 7. It claims Barrera describes receiving a request for network content and modifying the URL, such that the URL request resource file physical I/O address is preferably embedded in the client computer browser page URL link (citing column 4 lines 10-50, column 8 lines 50-65, column 9 lines 1-10). Applicant respectfully disagrees; as shown below, describing a physical I/O address of a resource file is not the equivalent of adding an identity of the first server to the data and forwarding the data to the client computer as specifically recited in the claims.

The first portions of this section merely describe using a URL addressing scheme for efficiently accessing resource files on a networked server system. See Column 4, lines 10-25. As asserted by the Examiner, this section further describes: "The URL request resource file physical I/O address is preferably embedded in the client computer browser page URL link, pre-establishing a correspondence between the browser page clement and the resource file." See Column 4, lines 25-29. However, as argued above this section does not describe the

P.08

Application No. 10/083,557 Appeal Brief date: June 19, 2006 Advisory Action dated: May 11, 2006 APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS

relevant limitations as recited in the embodiment of claim 1. The last portion of the cited section describes:

In the system embodiment of the present invention corresponding to this method embodiment, the data storage device controller is directly connected to the network and has a destination IP address, to allow accessing the requested resource file on the data storage device directly, and to allow the transfer of the requested resource file, between the data storage device and the client network access equipment, to be directly performed by the data storage device controller. (emphasis added)

Interestingly, this section of the Barrera reference does not describe the use of a server to perform the transfer of the requested file, much less the adding of an identity of a first server to a data request return as claimed in multiple embodiments.

An examination of the introductory section (column 8, lines 30-40) to the Examiner's cited section of column, lines 50-65 explains why. The cited section column 8, lines 50-65 describes some of the steps of the requesting and retrieval of data according to Barrera wherein "...a physical I/O address is included in the complete URL address" without any further explanation of the embedding process. See column 8, lines 40-44. However, the introductory section referred to above describes:

In another preferred embodiment of the present invention, shown in FIG. 3, the function of returning the resource file to the client 100 is directly performed by the data storage device controller 102, and a URL includes a physical I/O address of a resource file. In this aspect of the invention the resource file is sent directly to the requesting client, without use of a server 104. For this purpose the data storage device controller 102 protocol, such as SCSI or IDE protocol is used and the data storage device controller 102 is directly connected, via connection 108 and LAN connection 112, to the internet 106, and has its own IP address. (emphasis added)

Therefore, this introductory section makes it clear that the retrieval of any requested file is

JUN-19-2006 16:19

performed by a data storage device controller, separate from any server. Barrera specifically teaches away from the use of a server. Therefore, it is clear that the embedded physical I/O address of a resource file does not include an identity of a server responsible for forwarding the requested data to the client computer (e.g., as described in the embodiment of claim 1), because Barrera does not require the use of servers at all in its retrieval process.

The last cited section of Barrera (column 9, lines 1-10) merely confirms this conclusion. It restates: "In this preferred embodiment of the present invention, the host server 104 and the stack are bypassed. The data storage device controller 102 incorporates the Web network interface to interpret the request and return the requested resource file." See column 9, lines 5-9. Therefore, it is clear that Barrera and O'Neil fail to describe at least these limitations of the embodiment of claim 1.

Applicant maintains that the embedded address of Barrera is inadequate in other ways as well. As shown in multiple instances above, the embedded address of Barrera is a physical I/O address, otherwise known as a MAC address or ethernet address (e.g., 00 0A 27 91 40 FC). A MAC is not the same as, for example, an IP identifying address. A MAC address is a hardware address used for interface with the network medium in the OSI network standard. Applicant submits a MAC address is not sufficient to describe an identity of a first server as specifically recited in the embodiment of claim 1.

The Examiner also asserts that because column 8, lines 5-10 of Barrera describes that while responding to client requests, the IP address of a device controller is embedded in the URL request, that this is the equivalent of adding an identity of the first server to the data and forwarding the data to the client computer. Applicant disagrees. Column 8, lines 5-10 of

Barrera state:

JUN-19-2006 16:20

In this preferred embodiment of the present invention a URL address has the following content, assuming contiguous storage of resource file blocks:

http://....<IP Address or Hostname of
Controller>/<LUN#>/<StartBlock#>,<NumberOfBlocks>

In the disclosed preferred embodiment, the URL identifies a specific data storage device controller and its logical unit number, a physical block start address of the resource file on the data storage device and a number of blocks used for the resource file, and thus step 6 of a conventional system is bypassed. (emphasis added)

Therefore, as described in the Barrera reference "a URL address has the following content": the identity of a specific data storage device controller and its logical unit number (italicized in the exemplary URL), a physical block start address of the resource file on the data storage device and a number of blocks used for the resource file.

Moreover, even if Applicants were to assume, only arguendo, that the identity of the controller and the server are the same (they may not be), there is nothing in the Barrera reference that teaches "...adding an identity of the first server to the data and forwarding the data to the client computer", as described in embodiments of the present application. Column 7, line 25 to column 8, line 10 of Barrera (including the cited section column 8, line 5-10) is intended to describe the request and transfer of a resource file between computers. See column 7, lines 25-26. This description includes the selection and subsequent of sending of a requested URL address. See column 7, lines 55-63. Therefore, the URL address cited by the Examiner is merely sent as an identifier to aid in the locating of the requested resource file stored on the "Web server host". See column 7, lines 64-67.

Therefore, Applicants submit that the cited URL address of Barrera is sent as part of an

instruction request sent to the *host server* to *initiate the locating* of the requested file. Barrera does not describe at least including an URL address as part of a *retrieval* process to be sent to the requesting party. The Examiner further cites column 6, lines 20-30 of Barrera, which state:

Each selectable item on Web pages displayed on a Web site has an embedded URL address, with the physical I/O address of the corresponding Web page file located on its data storage device 20, preferably a SCSI device with a connection 21 to the server 14. Therefore, when a Web page is served by the Web server 14 to the client 10, the client browser can send to the Web server 14 a request with a complete URL link to a selectable Web page, including its physical I/O address. Thus, the request can be passed by the server 14 directly to the data storage device 20 controller, avoiding the file I/O layer. (emphasis added)

The cited section describes the embedding a URL address with the physical I/O address. However, it also describes a client browser sending a request with a URL link. Such a request is passed on to the server 14 as part of the request. There is no mention of the sending of a URL address as part of a retrieval process to be sent to the requesting party in the cited section, and it definitely does not include a description of "... adding an identity of the first server to the data and forwarding the data to the client computer" as described in embodiments of the present application. In order to support a proper §103(a) rejection, the cited references must include a similar teaching, suggestion or description. The Barrera reference does not.

Therefore, since each and every element of claim 1 is not taught, suggested or described by the cited references, Applicant respectfully submits that the §103(a) rejections are lacking and should be withdrawn. Likewise, independent claims 8 and 15 include similar limitations. Claims 2-7, 9-14, and 16-20 depend from and further define allowable independent claims 1, 9, and 15, and therefore are allowable as well.

CONCLUSION

Appellants therefore respectfully request that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reverse the Examiner's decision rejecting claims 1, 3-9, 11-17, 19-25 and 27-32 and direct the Examiner to pass the case to issue.

The Examiner is hereby authorized to charge the appeal brief fee of \$500.00 and any additional fees which may be necessary for consideration of this paper to Kenyon & Kenyon LLP Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Date: June 19, 2006

By: Sumit Bhattacharya

(Reg. No. 51,469)

Attorneys for Intel Corporation

KENYON & KENYON LLP 333 West San Carlos St., Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone:

(408) 975-7500

Facsimile:

(408) 975-7501

KENYON KENYON

APPENDIX

(Brief of Appellant Steve SCHNETZLER U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/083,557)

8. CLAIMS ON APPEAL

- (Previously Presented) A method comprising:
 receiving a request for the data from a client computer;
 sending the request to a first server of a plurality of servers;
 receiving the data from the first server; and
 adding an identity of the first server to the data and forwarding the data to the client
 computer.
- (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 determining whether the request includes a server identifier.
- (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the request is a Uniform Resource Locator (URL).
- 4. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the data is a HyperText Markup Language (HTML) page.

- 5. (Original) The method of claim 4, wherein the HTML page comprises at least one Uniform Resource Locator (URL), and the adding the identity of the first server comprises revising the at least one URL to include a server identifier that corresponds to the first server.
- 6. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the sending the request to the first server comprises a load balancing algorithm.
- 7. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the sending the request to the first server comprises sending the request to a server identified by the server identifier.
- 8. (Original) A load balancer comprising:

a processor; and

memory;

wherein said processor is adapted to:

receive a request for data from a client computer;

send the request to a first server among a plurality of servers;

receive the data from the first server; and

add an identity of the first server to the data and forward the data to the client

computer.

9. (Original) The load balancer of claim 8, said processor further adapted to: determine whether the request includes a server identifier.

- (Original) The load balancer of claim 8, wherein the request is a Uniform Resource
 Locator (URL).
- 11. (Original) The load balancer of claim 8, wherein the data is a HyperText Markup Language (HTML) page.
- 12. (Original) The load balancer of claim 11, wherein the HTML page comprises at least one Uniform Resource Locator (URL), and the processor adds the identity of the first server by revising the at least one URL to include a server identifier that corresponds to the first server.
- 13. (Original) The load balancer of claim 9, wherein the processor sends the request to the first server by executing a load balancing algorithm.
- 14. (Original) The load balancer of claim 9, wherein the processor sends the request to the first server by sending the request to a server identified by the server identifier.
- 15. (Original) A computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon that, when executed by a processor, cause the processor, after receiving a request for data from a client computer, to:

send the request to a first server among a plurality of servers;
receive the data from the first server; and
add an identity of the first server to the data and forward the data to the client computer.

Application No. 10/083,557 Appeal Brief date: June 19, 2006 Advisory Action dated: May 11, 2006

APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS

(Original) The computer readable medium of claim 15, said instructions further cause 16. said processor to:

determine whether the request includes a server identifier.

- 17. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 15, wherein the request is a Uniform Resource Locator (URL).
- 18. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 15, wherein the data is a HyperText Markup Language (HTML) page.
- 19. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 18, wherein the HTML page comprises at least one Uniform Resource Locator (URL), and the adding the identity of the first server comprises revising the at least one URL to include a server identifier that corresponds to the first server.
- 20. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 16, wherein the sending the request to the first server comprises a load balancing algorithm.
- 21. (Original) The computer readable medium of claim 16, wherein the sending the request to the first server comprises sending the request to a server identified by the server identifier.

P.17

Application No. 10/083,557 Appeal Brief date: June 19, 2006 Advisory Action dated: May 11, 2006 APPEAL BRIEF – PATENTS

9. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

No further evidence has been submitted with this Appeal Brief.

10. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

Per Section 2 above, there are no related proceedings to the present Appeal.